

GAUGE INVARIANT UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR CORNERS OF k -GRAPHS

STEPHEN ALLEN

ABSTRACT. For a finitely aligned k -graph Λ with X a set of vertices in Λ we define a universal C^* -algebra called $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ generated by partial isometries. We show that $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is isomorphic to the corner $P_X C^*(\Lambda) P_X$, where P_X is the sum of vertex projections in X . We then prove a version of the Gauge Invariant Uniqueness Theorem for $C^*(\Lambda, X)$, and then use the theorem to prove various results involving fullness, simplicity and Morita equivalence as well as new results involving symbolic dynamics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Much study has been done lately in regards to higher rank graphs (also known as k -graphs) and their associated graph algebras since their first appearance in [KP2]. As k -graphs are a higher dimensional generalisation of directed graphs (which can be assumed to be 1-dimensional), it is important to be able to adapt the known results for directed graphs to the field of k -graphs. So far this has been done with a reasonable amount of success (for example see [APS] [RSY1], [KP3], [Sim] to name a few) however the complex nature of k -graphs often makes the proofs of these adapted results much more complicated than the previous ones.

Corners of graph algebras naturally arise in many places when studying graph algebras (see [DT], [KPRR], [Tyl], [Tom] for example) and have shown to be a necessary tool in the understanding of arbitrary graph algebras. In particular, there is an important link between graph algebras and symbolic dynamics (see [Bat], [BP] and [DS]) since directed graphs represent subshifts of finite type (see [LM]). Transferring results from symbolic dynamics to graph algebras frequently involves using corners.

It is the goal of this paper to provide tools for dealing with corners of k -graph algebras generated by vertex projections. As such we describe a universal C^* -algebra generated by partial isometries which is isomorphic to a corner of a graph algebra. We then show that this algebra has a version of the Gauge Invariant Uniqueness Theorem (Theorem 3.5) which tells us when mappings that respect the gauge action are injective. We then show the facility our definition provides by proving various applications. As such we gain some new results as well as some generalisations of existing results for directed graphs. In particular we obtain conditions for checking Morita equivalence of graph algebras using corners and also realise the AF core of a k -graph as a corner.

We begin in section 2 with the preliminaries involved in finitely aligned k -graphs and their associated graph algebra since for the most part of this paper we restrict ourselves to this class of k -graph. In section 3, given a set X of vertices in a k -graph Λ we define a (Λ, X) -family of partial isometries subject to a set of relations similar to the Cuntz-Krieger relations of [RSY2] that generates a universal C^* -algebra $C^*(\Lambda, X)$. We then prove a Gauge Invariant Uniqueness Theorem (Theorem 3.5) for $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ which generalises [RSY2, Theorem 4.2] and then use this theorem to show that $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is isomorphic to a corner of $C^*(\Lambda)$.

Date: February 1, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L05.

In Section 4 we describe saturated and hereditary sets of vertices and use them to find conditions for fullness of our corners (Corollary 4.4). We also describe the Morita equivalence class (Proposition 4.2) of a corner based on saturated and hereditary sets. In Section 5 we examine a class of k -graph morphisms which induce maps between corners and in particular how these can be used to show Morita equivalence of different k -graph algebras (Corollary 5.4).

In section 6 we establish necessary conditions for a corner to be simple (Proposition 6.2) and in particular, if our k -graph is row finite the condition is also sufficient (Proposition 6.4). In Section 7 we briefly look at some corners that are generated by more general projections using the dual graph defined in [APS].

Finally in Section 8 we look at skew product graphs and establish a connection between certain fixed point algebras of k -graphs and corners of skew product graphs. In particular we give a condition for the AF core of a k -graph algebra to be Morita equivalent to a skew product graph naturally associated to it.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we let $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of counting numbers and regard $\mathbb{N}^k$ as an abelian monoid with identity $0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and canonical generators $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$, (1 is the i th coordinate). For $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ we write n_i as the i th coordinate of n . There is a partial order $\leq$ on $\mathbb{N}^k$ given by $m \leq n$ if $m_i \leq n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, with $m < n$ if $m \leq n$ and $m \neq n$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ we write $m \vee n$ and $m \wedge n$ for their coordinate-wise maximum and minimum respectively.

Definition 2.1. A k -graph is a pair (Λ, d) consisting of a countable category Λ and a *degree* functor $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^k$ which satisfies the *factorisation property*: for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $d(\lambda) = m + n$ there exist unique $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ such that $d(\mu) = m$, $d(\nu) = n$ and $\lambda = \mu\nu$ (see [KP2] for more details). A k -graph morphism is a functor between two k -graphs which respects the degree map.

Throughout this paper we will simply write Λ instead of (Λ, d) whenever it is clear what we mean. Since we regard k -graphs as a type of directed graph, we will sometimes refer to morphisms as paths (denoted with Greek letters $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \dots$) and objects as vertices (denote $u, v, w, \dots$), and we will write s and r for the domain and codomain maps respectively.

Definition 2.2. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ we define $\Lambda^n := \{\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\lambda) = n\}$. The factorisation property ensures that $\text{Obj}(\Lambda)$ can be identified with Λ^0 and we will regard them as the same thing. Given any $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ we define $v\Lambda^n := \{\lambda \in \Lambda^n : r(\lambda) = v\}$ and $\Lambda^n v := \{\lambda \in \Lambda^n : s(\lambda) = v\}$. Similarly, for any $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$, we define $X\Lambda^n := \bigcup_{v \in X} v\Lambda^n$ and $\Lambda^n X := \bigcup_{v \in X} \Lambda^n v$ and $X\Lambda := \{\lambda \in \Lambda : r(\lambda) \in X\}$.

Definition 2.3. A k -graph is *row finite* if the set $v\Lambda^n$ is finite for all $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$. We call a vertex $v \in \Lambda^0$ a *source* if $v\Lambda^{e_i} = \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ and a *sink* if $\Lambda^{e_i} v = \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Definition 2.4. A k -graph is *locally convex* if, for all $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $i \neq j$ and $v\Lambda^{e_i}$ and $v\Lambda^{e_j}$ are nonempty, then for all $\lambda \in v\Lambda^{e_i}$ the set $s(\lambda)\Lambda^{e_j}$ is nonempty.

Definition 2.5. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, we write

$$\Lambda^{\min}(\lambda, \mu) := \{(\alpha, \beta) : \lambda\alpha = \mu\beta, d(\lambda\alpha) = d(\lambda) \vee d(\mu)\}$$

for the collection of pairs which give *minimal common extensions* of λ and μ . We say the Λ is *finitely aligned* if $\Lambda^{\min}(\lambda, \mu)$ is finite (possibly empty) for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. We also define the (non minimal) *common extensions* of λ and μ of degree n to be the set $\Lambda^n(\lambda, \mu) := \{(\alpha, \beta) : \lambda\alpha = \mu\beta, d(\lambda\alpha) = n\}$ and note that in a finitely aligned k -graph that $\Lambda^n(\lambda, \mu)$ is finite for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda$.

Definition 2.6. A set $E \subset v\Lambda$ is *exhaustive* if for every $\mu \in v\Lambda$ there exists $\lambda \in E$ such that $\Lambda^{\min}(\lambda, \mu) \neq \emptyset$.

For this paper we are only concerned with finite exhaustive sets. This is reflected in Definition 2.8(iv) and Definition 3.1(iii). We note that if Λ is row finite with no sources then for any $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ the set $v\Lambda^n$ is a finite exhaustive set.

Definition 2.7. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we define

$$\Lambda^{\leq n} := \{\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\lambda) \leq n \text{ and } d(\lambda)_i < n_i \Rightarrow s(\lambda)\Lambda^{e_i} = \emptyset\}.$$

If Λ is row finite then for any $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ then $v\Lambda^{\leq n}$ is finite exhaustive.

Definition 2.8. Let (Λ, d) be a finitely aligned k -graph. A *Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family* is a collection $\{t_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of partial isometries in a C^* -algebra satisfying

- (i) $\{t_v : v \in \Lambda^0\}$ is a collection of mutually orthogonal projections;
- (ii) $t_\lambda t_\mu = t_{\lambda\mu}$ whenever $s(\lambda) = r(\mu)$;
- (iii) $t_\lambda^* t_\mu = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda^{\min}(\lambda, \mu)} t_\alpha t_\beta^*$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$; and
- (iv) $\prod_{\lambda \in E} (t_v - t_\lambda t_\lambda^*) = 0$ for all $v \in \Lambda^0$ and finite exhaustive $E \subset v\Lambda$.

Remarks 2.9. (1) Relation (iii) implies that $t_\lambda^* t_\lambda = t_{s(\lambda)}$ and that $t_\lambda^* t_\mu = 0$ if $\Lambda^{\min}(\lambda, \mu) = \emptyset$. Also, the finitely aligned condition is necessary for relation (iii) to make sense. See [RSY2, Definition 2.5] for more details.

- (2) If Λ is a row finite k -graph then relation (iv) of Definition 2.8 can be expressed as follows: for any $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$

$$t_v = \sum_{\lambda \in v\Lambda^{\leq n}} t_\lambda t_\lambda^*.$$

Given a finitely aligned k -graph (Λ, d) , there exists a C^* -algebra $C^*(\Lambda)$ generated by a Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family $\{s_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ which is universal in the following sense: given a Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family $\{t_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\pi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\pi(s_\lambda) = t_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. As a consequence of Definition 2.8 (i)-(iii), given $\{t_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ a Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family, by the same argument as [RSY2, Lemma 2.7], we have

$$C^*(\Lambda) = \overline{\text{span}} \{t_\lambda t_\mu^* : \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, s(\lambda) = s(\mu)\}$$

Given any finitely aligned k -graph (Λ, d) then it has a strongly continuous *gauge action* $\gamma : \mathbb{T}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(\Lambda))$ determined by $\gamma_z(s_\lambda) = z^{d(\lambda)} s_\lambda$ where $z \in \mathbb{T}^k$ and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^k$ we have $z^m = z_1^{m_1} \dots z_k^{m_k}$. The fixed-point algebra $C^*(\Lambda)^\gamma$ is AF and is equal to $\overline{\text{span}} \{s_\lambda s_\mu^* : d(\lambda) = d(\mu)\}$ and is called the *AF core* of $C^*(\Lambda)$ (see [RSY2, Theorem 3.1]).

3. CUNTZ-KRIEGER (Λ, X) -FAMILIES

We now wish to describe corners of finitely aligned k -graph algebras generated by vertex projections as a universal C^* -algebra generated by partial isometries. A similar method was used in [Szy2, §2] to describe corners of certain directed graphs. The notation used in this paper is also comparable to that of [RS, §3] where they define rank 2 Cuntz-Krieger algebras in a similar way.

Definition 3.1. Let (Λ, d) be a finitely aligned k -graph and $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ be non-empty. A *Cuntz-Krieger (Λ, X) -family* is a collection of partial isometries

$$\{T_{\alpha, \beta} : \alpha, \beta \in X\Lambda \text{ and } s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}$$

(with notation $T_\lambda := T_{\lambda, \lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$) subject to the following relations:

For any $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu \in X\Lambda$ with $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$ and $s(\lambda) = s(\mu)$,

- (i) $T_{\alpha, \beta}^* = T_{\beta, \alpha}$;
- (ii) $T_{\alpha, \beta} T_{\lambda, \mu} = \sum_{(\beta', \lambda') \in \Lambda^{\min}(\beta, \lambda)} T_{\alpha\beta', \mu\lambda'}$; and
- (iii) $\prod_{\lambda \in E} (T_v - T_\lambda) = 0$ for all $v \in X$ and finite exhaustive $E \subset v\Lambda$.

- Remarks 3.2.* (1) As a result of relations (i) and (ii) $\{T_\lambda : \lambda \in X\Lambda\}$ is a set of commuting projections, and in particular $\{T_v : v \in X\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal projections.
- (2) When $X = \Lambda^0$ these relations reduce to a Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family. That is, the set $\{T_{\lambda, s(\lambda)} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ satisfies Definition 2.8.

In [RSY2, Proposition 2.12] the boundary path representation was defined for any finitely aligned k -graph Λ with Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family $\{s_\lambda\}$. We use this representation for any C^* -algebra B generated by a Cuntz-Krieger (Λ, X) -family $\{T_{\alpha, \beta}\}$ by noting that there is a homomorphism $\pi : B \rightarrow C^*(\Lambda)$ given by $\pi(T_{\alpha, \beta}) = s_\alpha s_\beta^*$. Hence B has a sub-representation on the boundary path representation of $C^*(\Lambda)$ and there exists a non-degenerate Cuntz-Krieger (Λ, X) -family.

Thus we define $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ to be the universal C^* -algebra generated by a Cuntz-Krieger (Λ, X) -family $\{T_{\alpha, \beta} : \alpha, \beta \in X\Lambda, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}$. We also note, by the same argument as [RSY2, Lemma 2.7(iv)], that

$$C^*(\Lambda, X) = \overline{\text{span}} \{T_{\alpha, \beta} : \alpha, \beta \in X\Lambda, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}.$$

By the universality of $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ and a standard $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$ argument, $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ has a strongly continuous gauge action (γ) of $\mathbb{T}^k$ for each $z \in \mathbb{T}^k$ given by,

$$\gamma_z(T_{\alpha, \beta}) = z^{d(\alpha) - d(\beta)} T_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

We call the fixed point algebra $C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ the *core* of $C^*(\Lambda, X)$.

Using a standard argument, it can be shown that

$$C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma = \overline{\text{span}} \{T_{\alpha, \beta} \in C^*(\Lambda, X) : d(\alpha) = d(\beta)\}$$

(see [KP2, lemma 3.1], [BPRS, Lemma 2.2] for example).

Lemma 3.3. *Given a finitely aligned k -graph Λ with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$, and a finite set $F = \{T_{\alpha_i, \beta_i} \in C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma\}_{i=1}^n$. Then there exists a finite set $\overline{F} \subset C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ containing F such that $C^*(F) = \overline{\text{span}} \{\overline{F}\}$.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda(F) := \{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the set of paths in F and define $\Lambda(\leq F) := \{\lambda \in \Lambda : \lambda\lambda' \in \Lambda(F)\}$ to be the set of initial subpaths of F and let

$$\Lambda(N, F) := \{\lambda\mu \in \Lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda(\leq F), \mu \in \Lambda^n(\lambda, \nu) \text{ for some } \nu \in \Lambda(\leq F), n \leq N\},$$

where $N = \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda(F)} d(\lambda)$. Then $\Lambda(N, F)$ is the common extensions of the initial subpaths of F of degree less than or equal to N . Since Λ is finitely aligned, $\Lambda(N, F)$ is finite.

Next we note that for any $T_{\alpha, \beta}, T_{\lambda, \mu} \in C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ then by Definition 3.1(ii) their product will be the sum of elements $T_{\alpha\alpha', \mu\mu'} \in C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ with $d(\alpha\alpha') = d(\alpha) \vee d(\mu)$. Hence given any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda(N, F)$ then for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \Lambda^{\min}(\alpha, \beta)$ we must have $\alpha\mu, \beta\nu \in \Lambda(N, F)$. If we let $\overline{F} = \{T_{\alpha, \beta} \in C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma : \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda(N, F)\}$ then $\overline{F}$ contains F , is closed under adjoints and $\overline{\text{span}} \{\overline{F}\}$ is closed under multiplication. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$. Then the fixed point algebra $C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ is AF.*

Proof. By [Bra, Theorem 2.2] it suffices to show that for any finite set $F \subset C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$, that $C^*(F)$ is finite dimensional. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $F = \{T_{\alpha_i, \beta_i} \in C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma\}_{i=1}^n$ and by Lemma 3.3 there exists a finite set $\overline{F}$ such that $\text{span}\{\overline{F}\}$ is closed under multiplication and taking adjoints and such that $C^*(F) \subset \overline{\text{span}} \{\overline{F}\}$. $\square$

We now wish to prove an analogue of the Gauge Invariant Uniqueness Theorem for C^* -algebras generated by Cuntz-Krieger (Λ, X) -families.

Theorem 3.5 (Gauge Invariant Uniqueness Theorem). *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$, $\{t_{\alpha,\beta}\}$ a Cuntz-Krieger (Λ, X) -family and π be a representation of $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ such that $\pi(T_{\alpha,\beta}) = t_{\alpha,\beta}$. Suppose that for each $v \in \Lambda^0$ with $X\Lambda v \neq \emptyset$ there exists a path $\chi_v \in X\Lambda v$ such that $\pi(T_{\chi_v}) \neq 0$, and suppose that there is a strongly continuous action δ of $\mathbb{T}^k$ on $C^*(t_{\alpha,\beta})$ such that $\delta_z \circ \pi = \pi \circ \gamma_z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Then π is faithful.*

Proof. First suppose $T_{\alpha,\beta} \in C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ and $\pi(T_{\alpha,\beta}) = 0$, then since

$$(*) \quad T_{\chi_{s(\alpha)}} = T_{\chi_{s(\alpha)}, \alpha}(T_{\alpha,\beta})T_{\beta, \chi_{s(\alpha)}} ,$$

we must have that $\pi(T_{\chi_{s(\alpha)}}) = 0$ which contradicts our hypothesis.

Next suppose $x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i T_{\alpha_i, \beta_i} \in C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ with $\pi(x) = 0$ and further suppose that Λ has no sources. Let $N = \bigvee_{i=1}^n d(\alpha_i)$. There exists $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^N$ such that $\lambda = \alpha_j \lambda'$ and $\mu = \beta_j \mu'$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence $d(\lambda) \geq d(\alpha_i)$ and $d(\mu) \geq d(\beta_i)$ (since $d(\alpha_i) = d(\beta_i)$) for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus if $\Lambda^{\min}(\lambda, \alpha_i) \neq \emptyset$ then $\lambda = \alpha_i \lambda''$ and similarly $\mu = \beta_i \mu''$ whenever $\Lambda^{\min}(\mu, \beta_i) \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$$T_\lambda (c_i T_{\alpha_i, \beta_i}) T_{\mu, \lambda} = \begin{cases} c_i T_\lambda & i = j \text{ or } \Lambda^{\min}(\lambda, \alpha_i) \neq \emptyset, \Lambda^{\min}(\mu, \beta_i) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and so $T_\lambda x T_{\mu, \lambda} = c_x T_\lambda \neq 0$. Hence $\pi(x) = 0$ implies $\pi(T_\lambda) = 0$ which implies $\pi(T_{\chi_{s(\lambda)}}) = 0$ by (*). Hence $\pi(x) \neq 0$.

Next suppose that Λ has sources. There still exists $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^{\leq N}$ such that $\lambda = \alpha_j \lambda'$ and $\mu = \beta_j \mu'$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$ with the property that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ then $d(\lambda) \not\geq d(\alpha_i)$ implies $\Lambda^{\min}(\lambda, \alpha_i) = \emptyset$ and (since $d(\alpha_i) = d(\beta_i)$) the same for μ with each β_i . Hence we still have $T_\lambda x T_{\mu, \lambda} = c_x T_\lambda$ as before.

Hence π is faithful on $\mathcal{F} = \text{span}\{T_{\alpha,\beta} \in C^*(\Lambda, X) : d(\alpha) = d(\beta)\}$ and since $C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ is AF by Lemma 3.4 then every non trivial ideal in $C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ must intersect $\mathcal{F}$ by [Bra, Lemma 3.1] and since the kernel of π is an ideal then π must also be faithful on $C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$. Finally, since π is faithful on $C^*(\Lambda, X)^\gamma$ which is AF, the remainder of the proof is now standard (see [KP2, Theorem 3.4] or [RSY2, Theorem 4.2]) $\square$

Remark 3.6. If $X = \Lambda^0$ then for each $v \in \Lambda^0$ we may take $\chi_v = v$, and then Theorem 3.5 becomes the usual Gauge Invariant Uniqueness Theorem for finitely aligned k -graphs as seen in [RSY2, Theorem 4.2].

Given a k -graph Λ with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ and Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family $\{s_\lambda\}$, then by the same argument as [PR, Lemma 3.3.1] the sum $\sum_{v \in X} s_v$ converges to a projection $P_X \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(\Lambda))$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph and $\{s_\lambda\}$ be a Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family. Let $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ and $\{T_{\alpha,\beta}\}$ be a Cuntz-Krieger (Λ, X) -family then*

$$P_X C^*(\Lambda) P_X \cong C^*(\Lambda, X).$$

Proof. Define a map $\phi : C^*(\Lambda, X) \rightarrow P_X C^*(\Lambda) P_X$ by $\phi(T_{\alpha,\beta}) = s_\alpha s_\beta^*$. Then ϕ is a surjective homomorphism such that $\gamma_z(\phi(T_{\alpha,\beta})) = \gamma_z s_\alpha s_\beta^* = \phi(\gamma_z(T_{\alpha,\beta}))$. Since $\phi(T_\lambda) = s_\lambda s_\lambda^* \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in X\Lambda v$ then by Theorem 3.5, ϕ is also injective. $\square$

Remark 3.8. Using the map ϕ in the proof of Corollary 3.7 we have that

$$C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0) \cong C^*(\Lambda).$$

In this case the relations in Definition 3.1 are equivalent to the relations of a Cuntz-Krieger Λ -family as given in Definition 2.8. Hence when it is convenient we will identify $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)$ with $C^*(\Lambda)$ via the mapping $T_{\alpha,\beta} \mapsto s_\alpha s_\beta^*$ in order to avoid conflicts of notation.

4. FULLNESS OF $C^*(\Lambda, X)$

When considering corners it is natural to ask when the corner is full. The answer has a lot to do with saturated hereditary subsets of Λ^0 and their association with gauge invariant ideals in $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)$ (see [Sim] for details).

Definition 4.1. Given a k -graph Λ with $H, S \subset \Lambda^0$ then:

- (1) we say H is *hereditary* if for all $v \in H$ then $v\Lambda = v\Lambda H$,
- (2) we say S is *saturated* if for any $v \in \Lambda^0$ such that there exists a finite exhaustive set $E \subset v\Lambda S$ then $v \in S$.

If H is the smallest hereditary set containing V and S is the smallest saturated set containing H , then S is the smallest saturated and hereditary set containing V (see [Sim, Lemma 3.2]). We call the smallest saturated hereditary set containing V the *saturation* of V and denote it as $\Sigma(V)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Given a finitely aligned k -graph Λ with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ then $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\Lambda, \Sigma(X))$.*

Proof. By [RW, Example 3.6] $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is Morita equivalent to the ideal generated by P_X and by [Sim, Lemma 3.3] this ideal is equal to the ideal generated by $P_{\Sigma(X)}$. $\square$

Remarks 4.3. (1) If Λ is finitely aligned and $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ then $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is not usually a graph algebra but is always Morita equivalent to a graph algebra because of Proposition 4.2. If Λ is row finite and locally convex and $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ is a hereditary set then by [RSY1, Theorem 5.2(c)] $C^*(\Lambda, X) \cong C^*(X\Lambda, X)$ where $X\Lambda$ is a k -graph because X is hereditary. Since $X\Lambda^0 = X$ then it follows that $C^*(X\Lambda, X)$ is a graph algebra. If Λ is finitely aligned but not row finite and $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ is a saturated and hereditary set then by [Sim, Lemma 3.6] we again have $C^*(\Lambda, X) \cong C^*(X\Lambda, X)$. Hence for any finitely aligned k -graph with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ then by Proposition 4.2 we have $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\Lambda, \Sigma(X))$ with the latter being a graph algebra.

(2) Since Morita equivalence respects many C^* -algebra properties (e.g. simplicity, AF, etc) then in many cases where it may be convenient we may assume without loss of any generality that our set $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ is saturated and hereditary.

In particular, Proposition 4.2 says that $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is a full corner of $C^*(\Lambda, \Sigma(X))$, and hence we have Corollary 4.4

Corollary 4.4. *Given a finitely aligned k -graph Λ with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ then $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is a full corner of $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)$ if and only if $\Sigma(X) = \Lambda^0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 if $\Sigma(X) = \Lambda^0$ then $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is full in $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)$. Conversely, if $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is full then the ideal generated by P_X is equal to the ideal generated by P_{Λ^0} and hence $\Sigma(X) = \Lambda^0$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph and let $X, Y \subseteq \Lambda^0$ be such that $\Sigma(X) = \Sigma(Y)$. Then $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\Lambda, Y)$.*

Proof. $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\Lambda, \Sigma(X)) = C^*(\Lambda, \Sigma(Y))$ which is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\Lambda, Y)$ by Proposition 4.2. $\square$

Example 4.6. Let $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ be any subset and let $X^c = \Lambda^0 \setminus X$ and suppose $\Sigma(X) = \Sigma(X^c)$. This implies $\Sigma(X) = \Lambda^0$ and hence $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ and $C^*(\Lambda, X^c)$ are complimentary corners (see [BGR, Theorem 1.1]).

5. k -GRAPH MORPHISMS

Definition 5.1. Given k -graphs Λ_1, Λ_2 with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ and a k -graph morphism $\phi : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ then we say ϕ is *saturated* with respect to X if $\phi : X\Lambda_1 \rightarrow \phi(X)\Lambda_2$ is a bijection (c.f. [PRY, Definition 3.2 & Proposition 3.3]). If $X = \Lambda^0$ then we call ϕ a *saturated k -graph morphism*.

Theorem 5.2. *Given a finitely aligned k -graphs Λ_1, Λ_2 with $X \subseteq \Lambda_1^0$ and a k -graph morphism $\phi : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ that is relatively saturated with respect to X then $C^*(\Lambda_1, X) \cong C^*(\Lambda_2, \phi(X))$.*

Proof. This proof follows the same argument as [PRY, Proposition 3.3] and is repeated here for convenience. Let $\{T_{\alpha,\beta}\}$ be a Cuntz-Krieger (Λ_1, X) -family and let $\{S_{\gamma,\delta}\}$ be a Cuntz-Krieger $(\Lambda_2, \phi(X))$ -family. The relative saturation property ensures that $\{S_{\phi(\alpha),\phi(\beta)}\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger $(\Lambda_2, \phi(X))$ -family and the universal property of $C^*(\Lambda_1, X)$ induces a homomorphism $\phi_* : C^*(\Lambda_1, X) \rightarrow C^*(\Lambda_2, \phi(X))$ given by $\phi_*(T_{\alpha,\beta}) = S_{\phi(\alpha),\phi(\beta)}$. Then ϕ_* is surjective since ϕ is saturated and also ϕ is degree preserving since it is a k -graph morphism and hence ϕ_* respects the gauge action. Finally $\phi_*(T_\lambda) = S_{\phi(\lambda)} \neq 0$ so by Theorem 3.5 ϕ_* is also injective. $\square$

Example 5.3. Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph with $H \subset \Lambda$ a hereditary subset. Then $H\Lambda$ is a sub k -graph of Λ and the identity map $i : H\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a relatively saturated k -graph morphism with respect to H . Hence we have $C^*(H\Lambda) \cong C^*(\Lambda, H)$ by Theorem 5.2 which is an improvement of [RSY1, Theorem 5.2(c)] which requires Λ to be row finite locally convex and [Sim, Lemma 3.6] which requires H to be saturated and hereditary.

Corollary 5.4. *Given finitely aligned k -graphs Λ_1, Λ_2 and a saturated k -graph morphism $\phi : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ then $C^*(\Lambda_1)$ is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\Lambda_2)$ if and only if $\Sigma(\phi(\Lambda_1^0)) = \Lambda_2^0$.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 4.4. $\square$

Example 5.5. Recall from [KP3] that Ω_k is the k -graph with vertex set $\mathbb{N}^k$ and paths $\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k : m < n\}$ with $r(m, n) = m$, $s(m, n) = n$ and $d(m, n) = m - n$, while Δ_k is the k -graph with vertices $\mathbb{Z}^k$ and paths $\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{Z}^k : m < n\}$ with the same range, source and degree maps as above. So there is a natural embedding using the identity map $i : \Omega_k \rightarrow \Delta_k$ which is a saturated k -graph morphism. Noting that $\Omega_k^0 = \mathbb{N}^k$ is a hereditary subset of $\Delta_k^0 = \mathbb{Z}^k$ then, as in Example 5.3, $C^*(\Omega_k) \cong C^*(\Delta_k, \mathbb{N}^k)$. However $\Sigma(\mathbb{N}^k) = \mathbb{Z}^k$ so by Corollary 5.4 $C^*(\Delta_k, \mathbb{N}^k)$ is a full corner of $C^*(\Delta_k)$ and thus we have $C^*(\Omega_k)$ is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\Delta_k)$.

We now look at an application of saturated k -graph morphisms with symbolic dynamics in which we are concerned with the bi-infinite path space of a k -graph.

Definition 5.6. Given a k -graph Λ the *bi-infinite path space* of Λ is the set of k -graph morphisms

$$\Lambda^\Delta = \{x : \Delta_k \rightarrow \Lambda\}$$

where Δ_k is as defined in example 5.5.

A typical construction is the *essential subgraph* which is the largest subgraph with no sinks or sources. The bi-infinite path space of the essential subgraph can be identified with the bi-infinite path space of the original graph (see [LM]) and is also identified with the edge shift associated to the graph. This was done for 1-graphs in [LM].

We will now adapt this construction to k -graphs and show conditions for a k -graph and its essential subgraph to have Morita equivalent algebras. Constructing the essential subgraph involves removing ‘stranded’ vertices that do not lie on any bi-infinite path.

Remark 5.7. If $\Lambda^\Delta = \emptyset$ then the essential subgraph will be trivial.

Definition 5.8. Given a finitely aligned k -graph Λ then for any $v \in \Lambda^0$ we say v is *stranded* if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that $v\Lambda^n = \emptyset$ or $\Lambda^n v = \emptyset$. We denote $\mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$ as the set of all stranded vertices in Λ^0 .

For any k -graph Λ we construct the essential subgraph $E(\Lambda)$ by first identifying all the stranded vertices in Λ^0 and then constructing the subcategory with objects $\Lambda^0 \setminus \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$ and morphisms $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : s(\lambda), r(\lambda) \notin \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)\}$ (cf. [LM]). We can constructively define the set of stranded vertices in a recursive manner (c.f. [BHRs, Remark 3.1]) as follows. First let S_0 be the set of all sinks and sources. Next let

$$S_{n+1} = S_n \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{v \in \Lambda^0 : v\Lambda^{e_i} \subset v\Lambda S_n\} \cup \{v \in \Lambda^0 : \Lambda^{e_i} v \subset S_n \Lambda v\},$$

and finally let $\mathcal{S}(\Lambda) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$.

It is worth taking a moment to check that $E(\Lambda)$ forms a valid k -graph.

Lemma 5.9. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph with $\Lambda^\Delta \neq \emptyset$ then there exists a unique non-trivial k -graph $E(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda$ such that $E(\Lambda)$ the largest subgraph of Λ with no sinks or sources and $E(\Lambda)^\Delta = \Lambda^\Delta$.*

Proof. Let $E(\Lambda)$ be the subcategory of Λ with objects $\Lambda^0 \setminus \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$ and morphisms $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : s(\lambda), r(\lambda) \in E(\Lambda)^0\}$. Clearly $E(\Lambda)$ is nontrivial if $\Lambda^\Delta \neq \emptyset$. To see that $E(\Lambda)$ is a k -graph we need to check the factorisation property. Suppose $\lambda \in E(\Lambda)$, then this implies that $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $r(\lambda)\Lambda^n$ and $\Lambda^n s(\lambda)$ are nonempty for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that $p + q = d(\lambda)$ then there exist $\mu \in \Lambda^p$ and $\nu \in \Lambda^q$ such that $\lambda = \mu\nu$. By the factorisation property of Λ we must have $\Lambda^n s(\mu)$ and $s(\mu)\Lambda^m$ nonempty for all $m \leq p$ and $n \leq q$ and further since $s(\lambda)$ and $r(\lambda)$ are not stranded we then have $s(\mu)\Lambda^n$ and $\Lambda^n s(\mu)$ are nonempty for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and hence $\mu, \nu \in E(\Lambda)$. Therefore $E(\Lambda)$ is a k -graph.

Clearly if $x \in \Lambda^\Delta$ then $x \in E(\Lambda)^\Delta$ and vice-versa so $\Lambda^\Delta = E(\Lambda)^\Delta$ and clearly $E(\Lambda)$ is unique. To show $E(\Lambda)$ is the largest subgraph with no sinks or sources, suppose S is also a subgraph of Λ with no sinks or sources. Then every $v \in S^0$ is not stranded and hence $S \subset E(\Lambda)$. $\square$

Example 5.10. Let Ω_k and Δ_k be defined as in Example 5.5. Then $E(\Omega_k) = \emptyset$ since every vertex is stranded, however $E(\Delta_k) = \Delta_k$ since every vertex is not stranded.

Definition 5.11. A k -graph Λ is *essentially saturated* if for every $v \in \Lambda^0$ there exists $x \in \Lambda^\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ such that $v\Lambda x(n) \neq \emptyset$.

The essentially saturated property says that for every vertex $v \in \Lambda^0$ there exists a path $\lambda \in v\Lambda E(\Lambda)^0$ between v and the essential subgraph $E(\Lambda)$. Further more it implies that Λ has no sources and $\Lambda^\Delta \neq \emptyset$ and in particular for every $v \in \Lambda^0$ then $v\Lambda^n$ is non empty for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and that there exists $w \in \Lambda^0$ such that $v\Lambda w \neq \emptyset$ and $\Lambda^n w$ is nonempty for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ (possibly with $v = w$).

Definition 5.12. A k -graph Λ is *finitely exhaustive* if for every $v \in \Lambda^0$ there exists a finite exhaustive set $E \subset v\Lambda$.

Any row finite k -graph is automatically finitely exhaustive, however there does exist a class of finitely exhaustive k -graphs that are not row finite. In many ways these k -graphs would behave like row finite k -graphs because Definition 3.1 allows each vertex projection to be written as a finite sum of path projections. We will consider finitely exhaustive k -graphs again in section 8.

Lemma 5.13. *Given a finitely exhaustive k -graph Λ that is essentially saturated then $C^*(E(\Lambda))$ is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. If Λ is essentially saturated then $E(\Lambda)^0$ is a hereditary subset of Λ^0 and since Λ is finitely exhaustive $\Sigma(E(\Lambda)^0) = \Lambda^0$. Hence the result follows from Corollary 5.4 by the same argument as Example 5.3. $\square$

6. SIMPLICITY

Definition 6.1. A k -graph is *cofinal* if $\Sigma(\{v\}) = \Lambda^0$ for all $v \in \Lambda^0$. Also, given $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ then X is *relatively cofinal* if $\Sigma(\{v\}) = \Sigma(X)$ for all $v \in X$.

Cofinal is usually defined using the infinite path space of Λ (see [KP2, Definition 4.7] or [Sim, Definition 8.4]). In short a k -graph is cofinal if for every vertex in Λ and every infinite path in Λ^∞ there exists a finite path connecting them, while the relatively cofinal condition says that every vertex in X can be connected to every path in Λ^∞ . It should also be noted that Λ is cofinal if and only if Λ^0 is relatively cofinal.

Proposition 6.2. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ such that all ideals in $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ are gauge invariant, then $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is simple if and only if and X is relatively cofinal (c.f. [Szy1, Theorem 12]).*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 we may assume X is saturated and hereditary and so $C^*(\Lambda, X) \cong C^*(X\Lambda)$ by Theorem 5.2. In particular, if X is relatively cofinal in Λ then $X\Lambda$ is cofinal. Finally by [Sim, Proposition 8.5] $C^*(X\Lambda)$ is simple if and only if $X\Lambda$ is cofinal. $\square$

For most purposes Proposition 6.2 is unsatisfactory for determining simplicity since there is not yet a necessary and sufficient condition for the ideals of k -graph to all be gauge invariant. In [Sim, Theorem 7.2] condition (D) is stated for when all the ideals of a finitely aligned k -graph are gauge invariant however it is not easily checkable. However for row finite k -graphs we can say much more.

Recall from [KP2, Definition 4.1] that $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ is periodic if there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ such that $x(m+p, n+p) = x(m, n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ (with $m+p \geq 0$) and is eventually periodic if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that $\sigma^n x$ is periodic (where σ is the shift map). A path in Λ^∞ is *aperiodic* if it is not periodic or eventually periodic.

Definition 6.3. Let Λ be a k -graph with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$. Then we say X is *relatively aperiodic* if for all $v \in X$ there exists $x \in v\Lambda^\infty$ such that x is aperiodic. We say Λ is *aperiodic* if Λ^0 is relatively aperiodic.

In [KP2, Proposition 4.8] it was shown that if Λ is a row finite k -graph then $C^*(\Lambda)$ is simple if Λ is aperiodic and cofinal. Here we simply extend this notion to corners of locally convex and row finite k -graphs by using the definition of relative aperiodicity.

Proposition 6.4. *Let Λ be a row finite k -graph with $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ and let X be relatively aperiodic. Then $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is simple if and only if X is relatively cofinal.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 we may assume X is saturated and hereditary and $C^*(\Lambda, X) \cong C^*(X\Lambda)$ by Theorem 5.2. We note that if X is relatively aperiodic in Λ then $X\Lambda$ is aperiodic. Hence [KP2, Proposition 4.8] applies and $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ is simple if and only if $X\Lambda$ is cofinal. Since X is relatively cofinal if and only if $X\Lambda$ is cofinal then this completes the proof. $\square$

7. CORNERS GENERATED BY SUBSETS OF Λ^p

As a consequence of Proposition 6.4 if Λ is a row finite k -graph and $X \subseteq \Lambda^0$ is relatively aperiodic then all ideals in $C^*(\Lambda, X)$ are generated by saturated hereditary subsets of X . In such a case all corners of $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)$ are generated by subsets of Λ^0 and we need only consider X as a subset of Λ^0 . However if X is not relatively

aperiodic not not all ideals are generated by saturated hereditary subsets of Λ^0 (see [Sim, §5]) and hence not all corners can be generated by subsets of Λ^0 . In this section we show that if Λ is any row finite k -graph with no sources then we can easily extend our ideas in this paper to corners generated by certain subsets of Λ^p for some $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$.

Definition 7.1. Let Λ be a k -graph and let $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$, then the *dual graph* is $p\Lambda := \{\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\lambda) \geq p\}$ with range, source and degree maps defined as follows: For any $\lambda \in p\Lambda$ with $\lambda = \sigma\lambda' = \lambda''\rho$ and $d(\sigma) = d(\rho) = p$,

$$r_p(\lambda) = \rho, \quad s_p(\lambda) = \sigma, \quad d_p(\lambda) = d(\lambda) - p.$$

and composition defined as follows: For any $\lambda = \lambda'\rho, \mu = \rho\mu' \in p\Lambda$ with $r_p(\lambda) = s_p(\mu) = \rho$, then $\lambda \circ_p \mu = \lambda'\rho\mu'$.

For more details of dual higher rank graphs see [APS, §3]. In particular $p\Lambda$ is a k -graph and if Λ is row finite with no sources then $C^*(\Lambda) \cong C^*(p\Lambda)$ (see [APS, Proposition 3.2 & Theorem 3.5]).

Lemma 7.2. Let Λ be a row finite k -graph with no sources and let $X \subseteq (p\Lambda)^0 = \Lambda^p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ be such that for any $\alpha, \beta \in X$ we have $\Lambda^{\min}(\alpha, \beta) = \emptyset$. Then

$$P_X C^*(\Lambda) P_X \cong C^*(p\Lambda, X),$$

where $P_X = \sum_{\lambda \in X} s_\lambda s_\lambda^*$.

Proof. The hypothesis on the set X ensures that $\{s_\lambda s_\lambda^* : \lambda \in X\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal projections in $C^*(\Lambda)$ so P_X converges to a projection in $\mathcal{M}(C^*(\Lambda))$ (by the same argument as [PR, Lemma 3.3.1]). The rest follows from Corollary 3.7 and [APS, Theorem 3.5]. $\square$

We can extend Definition 3.1 to include $X \subseteq \Lambda^p$ subject to the hypothesis in Lemma 7.2. Note that if $p = 0$ then Lemma 7.2 reduces to Corollary 3.7.

When we talk about corners generated by arbitrary subsets of Λ^p we have to be careful to watch that P_X converges to a projection in $\mathcal{M}(C^*(\Lambda))$. However in some cases we can still talk about Cuntz-Krieger (Λ, X) -families generated by such arbitrary subsets. For example let us suppose Λ is row finite with no sinks or sources with $X \subset \Lambda^p$ and suppose $\mu, \nu \in X$ with $\mu = \nu\nu'$. By definition

$$C^*(p\Lambda, X) = \overline{\text{span}} \{T_{\alpha, \beta} : \alpha, \beta \in X\Lambda, s_p(\alpha) = s_p(\beta)\},$$

and hence for any $\lambda \in \mu\Lambda \cup \nu\Lambda$ there is a $T_\lambda \in C^*(p\Lambda, X)$. However $\mu\Lambda \subset \nu\Lambda$ so we would have $C^*(p\Lambda, X \setminus \{\mu\}) = C^*(p\Lambda, X)$ in the sense that they are isomorphic via the identity map.

8. SKEW PRODUCT GRAPHS

In this section we look at a k -graph construction called a skew product graph $G \times_c \Lambda$ and its relation to fixed point algebras.

Definition 8.1. Given a k -graph Λ and a functor $c : \Lambda \rightarrow G$ where G is a discrete group then the *skew product* graph $G \times_c \Lambda$ is the k -graph with objects $G \times \Lambda^0$ and morphisms $G \times \Lambda$ with $s(g, \lambda) = (gc(\lambda), s(\lambda))$ and $r(g, \lambda) = (g, r(\lambda))$ and degree map $d(g, \lambda) = d(\lambda)$ (see [KP2, Definition 5.1] for details).

In particular, a functor $c : \Lambda \rightarrow G$ gives rise to a normal coaction γ_c of G on $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)$ given by:

$$\gamma_c(T_{\alpha, \beta}) = T_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes 1_{c(\alpha)c(\beta)^{-1}},$$

where for any $g \in G$ then 1_g is the point mass function in $C^*(G)$. Then the fixed-point algebra is $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)^{\gamma_c} = \overline{\text{span}} \{T_{\alpha, \beta} \in C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0) : c(\alpha) = c(\beta)\}$ (see [PQR, §7] for more details).

Proposition 8.2. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph, let G be a discrete group with a functor $c : \Lambda \rightarrow G$ and let γ_c be the corresponding coaction of G on $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)$. Then*

$$C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)^{\gamma_c} \cong C^*(G \times_c \Lambda, V),$$

where $V = \{(1, v) \in G \times \Lambda^0\}$ and 1 is the identity element of G .

Proof. For any $(g, \lambda) \in G \times_c \Lambda$ with range in V we must have $g = 1$. Also for any $(1, \mu), (1, \nu) \in G \times_c \Lambda$ with the same source we must have $c(\mu) = c(\nu)$ and $s(\mu) = s(\nu)$. Hence $C^*(G \times_c \Lambda, V) = \overline{\text{span}}\{T_{(1, \mu), (1, \nu)} : c(\mu) = c(\nu), s(\mu) = s(\nu)\}$. Thus we define the map $\phi : C^*(G \times_c \Lambda, V) \rightarrow C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)^{\gamma_c}$ by $\phi(T_{(1, \mu), (1, \nu)}) = T_{\mu, \nu}$ which is clearly a surjective homomorphism. Since $\phi(T_{(1, \lambda)}) = T_\lambda \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and ϕ is gauge invariant then by Theorem 3.5 ϕ is also injective. $\square$

Proposition 8.2 is a well known property of directed graphs (see [Cri, Theorem 4.6] and [KP1, Proposition 2.8] to name a few) and as such, it is no surprise that it is also true for finitely-aligned k -graphs. However the main difference here is by using the universal property of corner algebras and Theorem 3.5 we obtain a short proof.

Example 8.3. For any finitely aligned k -graph Λ , let $G = \mathbb{Z}^k$ and take the degree map as our functor. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda, V) \cong C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)^{\gamma_d}$ by Proposition 8.2 where $\gamma_d = \gamma$ is the gauge action.

In particular, if $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda, V)$ is a full corner of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda)$, then the $C^*(\Lambda, \Lambda^0)^{\gamma}$ is Morita equivalent to the $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda)$. So our next aim is to find a condition for the fullness of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda, V)$.

Proposition 8.4. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k -graph and $V = \{(0, v) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \Lambda^0\}$ then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda, V)$ is a full corner of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda)$ if and only if Λ is essentially saturated and finitely exhaustive.*

Proof. Suppose Λ is essentially saturated and finitely exhaustive. Then for any $(x, v), (y, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda^0$ then there exists a path in $(x, v)(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda)(y, w)$ if and only if there exists a path $\lambda \in v\Lambda w$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda$ is essentially saturated if and only if Λ is essentially saturated, so by using Lemma 5.13 we may also assume $\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda$ has no sinks or sources. Then $H(V) = \{(n, v) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \Lambda\}$ is the smallest hereditary set containing V and hence $\Sigma(V) = \mathbb{Z}^k \times \Lambda^0$ because every vertex has a finite exhaustive set.

Conversely suppose $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda, V)$ is full and let $H(V)$ be the hereditary set of V . Hence $H(V) \subset \mathbb{N}^k \times \Lambda^0$. Then for every $(x, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda^0 \setminus H(V)$ there must be a path from (x, w) to $H(V)$ and so there must exist $\lambda \in w\Lambda$ such that $d(\lambda) + x \in \mathbb{N}^k$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Hence $w\Lambda^n$ is nonempty for all $w \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and in particular $\Lambda^n v \neq \emptyset$ for any $v \in H(V)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Therefore the set $\{v \in \Lambda^0 : (x, v) \in H(V)\}$ are non stranded vertices in Λ and every vertex in Λ^0 can trace a path to this set. Hence Λ is essentially saturated. Further for each $(x, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda$ there exists a finite exhaustive subset of $(x, v)(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda)H(V)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and hence there is also exists a finite exhaustive set for each $v \in \Lambda^0$. Thus Λ is finitely exhaustive. $\square$

Corollary 8.5. *Given a row finite and essentially saturated k -graph Λ then the AF core $C^*(\Lambda)^{\gamma}$ is Morita equivalent to $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^k \times_d \Lambda)$.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 8.2 and Proposition 8.4. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [APS] S. Allen, D. Pask, and A. Sims, *A dual graph construction for higher rank graphs, and K -theory for finite 2-graphs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (2005), to appear [arXiv:math.OA/0402126].
- [Bat] T. Bates, *Applications of the gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for graph algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **65** (2002), no. 1, 57–67.
- [BGR] L. Brown, P. Green, and M. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), no. 2, 349–363.
- [BHRS] T. Bates, J. H. Hong, I. Raeburn, and W. Szymański, *The ideal structure of the C^* -algebras of infinite graphs*, Illinois J. Math. **46** (2002), 1159–1176.
- [BP] T. Bates and D. Pask, *Flow equivalence of graph algebras*, Ergodic Theory & Dynam. Systems **24** (2004), no. 2, 367–382.
- [BPRS] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn, and W. Szymański, *The C^* -algebras of row-finite graphs*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 307–324.
- [Bra] O. Bratteli, *Inductive limits of finite dimensional C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1972), 195–234.
- [Cri] T. Crisp, *Corners of graph algebras*, preprint, 2005 [arXiv:math.OA/0503626].
- [DS] D. Drinen and N. Sieben, *C^* -equivalences of graphs*, J. Operator Theory **45** (2001), no. 1, 209–229.
- [DT] D. Drinen and M. Tomforde, *The C^* -algebras of arbitrary graphs*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **35** (2005), no. 1, 105–135.
- [KP1] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *C^* -algebras of directed graphs and group actions*, Ergodic Theory & Dynam. Systems **19** (1999), 1503–1519.
- [KP2] ———, *Higher rank graph C^* -algebras*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 1–20.
- [KP3] ———, *Actions of $\mathbb{Z}^k$ associated to higher rank graphs*, Ergod. Theory & Dynam. Systems **23** (2003), no. 4, 1153–1172.
- [KPRR] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn, and J. Renault, *Graphs, groupoids, and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997), no. 2, 505–541.
- [LM] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [PQR] D. Pask, J. Quigg, and I. Raeburn, *Coverings of k -graphs*, J. Algebra **289** (2005), no. 1, 161–191.
- [PR] D. Pask and I. Raeburn, *On the K -theory of Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **32** (1996), 415–443.
- [PRY] D. Pask, I. Raeburn, and T. Yeend, *Actions of semigroups on directed graphs and their C^* -algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **159** (2001), no. 2-3, 297–313.
- [RS] G. Robertson and T. Steger, *Asymptotic K -theory for groups acting on $\tilde{A}_2$ buildings*, Canadian Math. J. **8** (2001), 111–131.
- [RSY1] I. Raeburn, A. Sims, and T. Yeend, *Higher-rank graphs and their C^* -algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **46** (2003), 99–115.
- [RSY2] ———, *The C^* -algebras of finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, J. Func. Anal. **213** (2004), 206–240.
- [RW] I. Raeburn and D. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.
- [Sim] A. Sims, *Gauge-invariant ideals in the C^* -algebras of finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, preprint, 2005 [arXiv:math.OA/0406592].
- [Szy1] W. Szymański, *Simplicity of Cuntz-Krieger algebras of infinite matrices*, Pacific J. Math. **199** (2001), no. 1, 249–256.
- [Szy2] ———, *The range of K -invariants for C^* -algebras of infinite graphs*, Ind. Univ. Math. J. **51** (2002), no. 1, 239–249.
- [Tom] M. Tomforde, *Ext classes and embeddings for C^* -algebras of graphs with sinks*, New York J. Math. **7** (2001), 233–256.
- [Tyl] J. Tyler, *Every AF-algebra is Morita equivalent to a graph algebra*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **69** (2004), no. 2, 237–240.

E-mail address: stephen.allen@newcastle.edu.au

SCHOOL MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, CALLAGHAN, NSW 2308, AUSTRALIA